

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1459 Alcutanta, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspib.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/926,184	12/31/2001	Wilhelm Barthlott	P67142US0	2828
136	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			TSOY, ELENA	
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			1340	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/926,184	BARTHLOTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elena Tsoy	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office falter than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>August 20 2003</u> .						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.						
37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Page	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Brawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s) 11.		atent Application (FTO-192)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/926,184 Art Unit: 1762

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

Claims 9 and 10 provide for the use of list of compounds, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1762

4. Claims 1-5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dettre et al (US 3,354,022).

Dettre et al disclose a method for the preparation of water-repellant surface having protrusions and recesses, wherein the distance between said protrusions is in the range of 20 to 160 microns or 50-350 microns and the height of said protrusions is 5 to 80 microns or 15-250 microns (See column 12, lines 35-37, 55-57), by spraying to the surface a dispersion or solution of a hydrophobic material, e.g. solution of a wax having a softening temperature greater that 40°C which are essentially halogen free (See column 4, lines 69-73) such as a solution of paraffin waxes (long-chain alkanes) together with glass beads (solid particles) (See column 12, lines 48-52) or a dispersion of fluorocarbon wax (hydrophobic and oleophobic material) which provide the surface with improved water repellency (See column 3, lines 22-26).

It is the Examiner's position that self-organization of the applied waxes after a solvent is evaporated is implied since they form claimed arrangement of protrusions and recesses.

It is the Examiner's position that a water-repellant surface of Dettre et al has claimed properties such as self-cleaning properties and ability to be washed off applied material, inherently since it is produced by a method identical or substantially identical process to that of claimed invention using the same claimed material.

It is held that where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent. See MPEP 2111.02, 2112.01. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). "When the PTO

Application/Control Number: 09/926,184

Art Unit: 1762

shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dettre et al (US 3,354,022) in view of Groszek et al (US 4,183,757).

Dettre et al, as applied above, fail to teach that the wax material is sprayed by a spray gun.

Groszek et al teach that dispersions of waxes (See column 3, lines 23-47) can be sprayed by spray gun (See column 5, lines 53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used spray gun for spraying wax dispersions in Dettre et al since Groszek et al teach that dispersions of waxes can be sprayed by spray gun.

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant disclosure.

Diamond (US 5,492,881) teaches that paraffin is olephobic or semi-oleophobic (See column 7, lines 33-34).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (703) 605-1171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Thur. 9:00-7:30, Mo-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

6750y Elena Tsoy

Examiner Art Unit 1762

November 27, 2003